

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated March 30, 2007.

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0030663 A1, and Oosterholt et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0008399 A1, and further in view of Casais, U.S. Patent No. 6,941,337. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner, in response to the arguments stated in the Amendment filed herein on January 25, 2007, “maintains that the [sic] Casais, meets the limitations as claimed. Examiner reiterates that Casais teaches the URL address is stored as a macro at the time of referring to a file and when the subscriber wants to replay the macro, he selects the relevant link (URL) (see col. 2., lines 18-25 and col. 4, lines 25-30),” (Office Action, page 2, Response to Arguments, line 9, to page 3, line 2).

In further detail, the Examiner alleges, in support of the rejections of independent claims 1, 12, and 23, that, “Casais teaches a process and system for accessing information such as a weather information from a website using a mobile terminal, wherein a URL address associated with a past-referred to file (e.g. the weather information) is automatically created in said communication mode of said mobile terminal when a subscriber wishes to replay or access the weather information (see col. 2, line 62 through col. 3, line 10, col. 3, lines 25-45 and Fig. 3). Casais further teaches the URL address is stored as a macro at the time of referring to a file (e.g. the weather information) and when the subscriber wants to replay the macro, he selects the relevant link (i.e. the URL) (see col. 2, lines 18-25 and col. 4, lines 25-30),” (Office Action, page 6, lines 13-22; page 10, lines 13-22; emphasis supplied).

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner’s contention that Casais teaches the features of independent claims 1, 12, and 23 which it is alleged to teach for the following reasons.

First of all, the Examiner is indicating that Casais teaches a process and system for accessing information such as a weather information from a website using a mobile terminal involving a URL address associated with a past-referred to file, for example, the weather information. However, independent claims 1, 12, and 23 do not claim a URL address associated

with a past-referred to file. Rather, independent claims 1, 12, and 23 claim “a URL address of said past-referred to file,” (claim 1, line 13; claim 12, line 11; claim 23, line 12; emphasis supplied).

Secondly, the URL address of Casais, referred to by the Examiner, is respectfully submitted to be actually the URL address of the macro, as evidenced by the following excerpt from Casais, “Furthermore, the start-up element creates a URL address for the macro,” (column 3, lines 8-9; emphasis supplied). Since, as just demonstrated, the URL address is a URL address for the macro, the Examiner is respectfully submitted to be incorrect when the Examiner states that the URL address is stored as a macro, (Office Action, page 6, line 19; page 10, line 19).

Thirdly, Casais cannot meet the feature of independent claims 1, 12, and 23 specifying that “said URL address of said past-referred to file ... [being] automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to,” (claim 1, lines 18-21; claim 12, lines 16-19; claim 23, lines 17-20; emphasis supplied). Casais, according to the Examiner, teaches storing a URL address at the time of referring to a file, e.g., the weather information. However, the URL address in Casais is not of the weather information, as would be analogously required by independent claims 1, 12, and 23; rather, the URL address in Casais is of the macro.

Finally, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is incorrect in stating that the URL address is stored at the time of referring to the weather information. The only URL address disclosed in the sections of Casais referred to by the Examiner is the URL of the macro. That URL address is created as soon as the subscriber turns a switch function on to start the creation of a macro, and this is before accessing the weather information, (column 2, line 49, to column 3, line 28).

Since each of claims 3-11, 14-22, and 25-33 is directly dependent upon one of independent claims 1, 12, and 23, each of claims 3-11, 14-22, and 25-33 is allowable over Nielsen et al. and Oosterholt et al. and further in view of Casais for the same reasons recited above with

respect to the allowability of independent claims 1, 12, and 23 over Nielsen et al. and Oosterholt et al. and further in view of Casais.

In view of the foregoing remarks, allowance of claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims and pass this case to issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON JUNE 15, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700